

Understanding the Sediment Budgets of Atoll Islands Through Radiometric Dating of Seabed Sediments

Introduction

- With international concern of climate change and level rise due to anthropogenic effects sea increasing day to day, the future state of low-lying reef islands continues to be of scientific debate.
- Global average rate of sea level rise = 2.0 mm yr⁻¹ [1]
- Carbonate budget forming atoll islands and reefs are >70% sourced from local coral reefs [5].
- Schematic atoll models highlight long-term affects to the sediment budget from geomorphologic changes [3].
- Other studies utilize radiometric dating to analyze atoll development in terms to chronology and sediment origin [9].
- Goals: (1) look at the age structure of modern reefal sediments using uranium/thorium dating and (2) assess the patters of biogenic carbonate sediment production associated with coral reefs surrounding the atoll islands in the Chagos Archipelago.

Methods

- Study site: Chagos Archipelago (British Indian Ocean Territory). Collected in The Living Oceans Foundation Global Reef Expedition (2011-2017) [7].
- The procedure can be summarized as follows:
- 1. Isolate coral fragments (> 3 mm) from the other sediment constituents in each sample.
- 2. Clean each fragment and confirm mineralogy (corals > 90% aragonite, <10% HMC) via X-Ray Diffraction.
- 3. Complete radiometric dating using Isotope Dilution Mass Spectrometry following the algorithm proposed by Dr. Ali Pourmand [6].
- 4. Analyze uncorrected ages (years) in Microsoft Excel and Minitab.



Figure 1 Example of a BIOT sample with an array of biogenic carbonates



Figure 3 X-Ray Diffractometer at RSMAS, University of Miami.



Figure 2 Example of a pristine coral fragment sample.



Figure 4 Multi-Collector Inductively Coupled Plasma Mass Spectrometer, Neptune Isotope Lab, UM.

Nichole J. Lopez and Sam J. Purkis (Faculty Supervisor) Rosenstiel School of Marine and Atmospheric Sciences, University of Miami



Figure 5 The comparative status of collected BIOT samples: collected (but not yet dated), dated, deficient (< 90% aragonite, >10% HMC), and N/A (< 3 mm sediment)







Figure 9 Linear regression plot (95% confidence interval) of the average uncorrected ages (years) of the Great Chagos Bank versus the nearest island distance (m).



Figure 10 Box (median and 50% quantile) and whisker (95% quantile) plot showing relantionship between average uncorrected ages (years) and exposure regime in the Great Chagos Bank.

Figure 6 Map of the Chagos Archipelago showing the average U/Th dates calculated for the Great Chagos Bank (n = 52).





• Future research should finish analyzing all of the samples in the Chagos and perform the same project in other atolls for comparison, and take coral bleaching [8] into consideration.







Discussion

• Results indicate a time lag of decades to millennia existing between the production of reef detritus and its incorporation in the sediment budget.

• Age range = modern sediments to over 6,000 years across Chagos Archipelago!

• Older fragments in atoll could be attributed to higher percentage of submerged, inactive reefs.

• Based on Great Chagos Bank (GCB) data:

- Youngest coral fragments clustered around lowlying reef islands.
- Due to prolonged time to break down and migrate within the atoll, sediments tend to stay close to source of carbonate production [2].
- Older fragments clustered around those sites with an exposure to the trade winds (SE).
- Increased wind exposure \rightarrow increased bioerosion rates \rightarrow high carbonate production rates \rightarrow new sediment deposits [5].
- Trade winds (SE) serve as a mode of transportation for coral fragments as they distribute across the reef (windward vs. leeward).
- The net rate of island migration (-0.02 m yr⁻¹) can pose as the minimal rate at which detritus is moving away from the carbonate source (km's per thousands of years) [10].
- Carbonate response to eustacy represent changes in carbonate sediment production and accumulation [3]:
- Initial reef growth = 7000-8000 years ago
- Catch-up reef growth = 2000-4000 years ago
- Keep-up reef growth = last 2000 years

Acknowledgments

Special thanks to Sam J. Purkis, Ali Pourmand, Amanda Oehlert, and Arash Sharifi. This project was supported by the University of Miami, Rosenstiel School of Marine and Atmospheric Science, and the Department of Geology. An additional thanks to the University of Miami's RSMAS Undergraduate Mastriani Research Grant for their financial support.

References

[1] Church et al., 2006 [2] Dawson et al., 2014 [3] Kendall and Schlager, 1981 [8] Sheppard et al., 2020 [4] McLean and Kench, 2015 [5] Perry et al., 2015

[6] Pourmand et al., 2014 [7] Purkis et al., 2019 [9] Woodroffe, 1999 [10] Wu, 2020 (UD)